

LAW FROM OXFORD JOURNALS

INCREASED FREQUENCY IN 2010

Medical Law Review

Editor-in-Chief: **Margot Brazier**, University of Manchester

Medical Law Review is established as an authoritative source of reference for academics, lawyers, legal and medical practitioners, law students, and anyone interested in healthcare and the law.

Read the following papers FREE online:

Visit: www.medlaw.oxfordjournals.org

Medical injury Compensation: Beyond 'No-Fault'
by Thomas Douglas

The Exercise of Public Health Powers in Cases of Infectious Disease: Human Rights Implications
by Robyn Martin

Published 4 times per year

The German Law of Unjustified Enrichment and Restitution

A Comparative Introduction

Gerhard Dannemann, Professor at Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin

978-0-19-953311-4, Hardback £50.00

July 2009, 348 pages

For further information, turn to page 15

A major authority for the future development of European Private Law

Principles, Definitions and Model Rules of European Private Law

Draft Common Frame of Reference (DCFR)

Edited by **Christian von Bar**, Professor of Law at the Universität Osnabrück, and **Eric Clive**, Visiting Professor, University of Edinburgh School of Law

978-0-19-957375-2, Hardback £650.00


December 2009, 6,100 pages, OUP/Sellier

For further information, turn to page 12

The Division of Wrongs

A Historical Comparative Study

Eric Descheemaeker, Fellow and Tutor in Law at St Catherine's College, Oxford



The common law, despite procedural divisions, has only ever had one class of civil wrongs. The civilians, by contrast, have typically split their law of wrongs in two, one group being called *delicts* and the other *quasi-delicts*. Yet this division, which originated in Roman law, remains mysterious: it is clear neither where the line was drawn nor why a separation was made along this line.

This book does two things. In the first two parts, it investigates the origins of the division and its development in a modern civilian jurisdiction, France. The third part of the work concerns itself with the significance of the civilian division of wrongs according to degrees of blameworthiness (*dolus, culpa, casus*) for the common law. A provocative thesis is developed, in effect, that there is a strong case for the adoption of a similar trichotomy as the first-level division of the English law of civil wrongs. From its formulary age, English law has inherited an unstable taxonomy where wrongs intersect. The existence of these mismatched categories continues to cause significant difficulties, which a realignment of causes of action along the above lines could rectify.

- Provides a comprehensive historical account of the structure of the civil law of delict, from its Roman origins to the modern French law
- Offers a revisionist interpretation of the French law, arguing that its structure became confused through misunderstanding the Roman law
- Presents a provocative argument advocating the incorporation of the civilian structure into the common law

978-0-19-956279-4, Hardback


£50.00

June 2009, 328 pages

NEW IN PAPERBACK

Torts and Rights

Robert Stevens, Professor of Commercial Law, University College London, Barrister, and formerly Lecturer at the University of Oxford, and Fellow of Lady Margaret Hall



Review(s) from previous edition

'Torts and Rights is full of stimulating and provocative analysis and argument, both descriptive and normative. It deserves a large and wide audience.'

Peter Cane, *Modern Law Review*

'In Torts and Rights, Stevens has taken the claim of rights-based theorists one step further and in a far-ranging tour de force shows how most of the well-recognised torts can be understood from this perspective.'

JW Neyers, *King's Law Journal*

'Whilst Stevens' audience may not find themselves in agreement with all of his conclusions, few will be able to deny the coherence of his presentation or the clarity of his reasoning. Its impact on the law of torts is bound to be significant; tort lawyers, you have been warned.'

Sarah Green, *Cambridge Law Journal*

The law of torts is concerned with the secondary obligations generated by the infringement of primary rights. This work seeks to show that this apparently simple proposition enables us to understand the law of torts as found in the common law.

Using primarily English materials, but drawing heavily upon the law of other common law jurisdictions, Stevens seeks to give an account of the law of torts which relies upon the core material familiar to most students and practitioners with a grasp of the law of torts. This material is drawn together in support of a single argument in a provocative and accessible style, and puts forward a new theoretical model for analysing the law of torts, providing an overarching framework for radically reconceiving the subject.

978-0-19-956384-5, Paperback

£24.99

February 2009, 416 pages